1		0			
2		O			
3					
4					
5					
6					
7	,				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR05-644-CAS				
11	Plaintiff, SUPERVISED RELEASE	~			
12	v.) REVOCATION, REVOKING REINSTATING AND JUDG	J, ·MENT			
13					
14	Defendant.				
15	j)				

On July 9, 2009, this matter came before the Court on petition to show cause why supervised release should not be revoked filed July 6, 2009. The Government, Jane Kim, the defendant and his appointed attorney, Anthony Eaglin, Deputy Federal Public Defender, were present. The U.S. Probation Officer, Gregory Metoyer, is also present. The defendant admits violation of his supervised release as stated in the petition filed July 6, 2009.

2.3

2.4

THE COURT FINDS defendant's admissions voluntary and knowledgeable and accepts them. The Court further finds that the defendant is in violation of the terms and conditions of his supervised release as set forth in the Judgment and Probation/Commitment Order of August 3, 2006. The defendant's supervised release is hereby revoked and reinstated under the same terms and conditions previously imposed, with the added conditions as follows:

1) The defendant shall participate for a period of three (3) months in a home detention program which includes electronic monitoring and shall observe all rules of such program, as directed by the Probation Officer;

1	2) If the Probation Officer determines defendant has the resources to pay such costs, then		
2	the defendant shall pay the costs of electronic monitoring to the contract vendor, not		
3	to exceed the sum of \$12.00 for each day of participation in the electronic monitoring		
4	program. The defendant shall provide payment and proof of payment as directed by		
5	the Probation Officer; and		
6	3) The defendant shall attend at least one (1) Alcoholics Anonymous Meeting per day,		
7	7-days a week. The defendant may attend additional Alcoholics Anonymous		
8	Meetings with the consent of the Probation Officer.		
9	IT IS ORDERED that the Clerk deliver a copy of this judgment to the United States		
10	Marshal or other qualified officer and that said copy shall serve as the commitment of		
11	defendant.		
12			
13			Rhristine a. Smyde
14	FILE	//DATED: July 10, 2009	CHRISTINA A. SNYDER
15			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16			TERRY NAFISI, CLERK
17			
18			By:/S/
19			By:/S/ Catherine M. Jeang, Deputy Clerk
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
⊿ດ∥			